

WOODBIDGE TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of a meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** held in the Shire Hall, Market Hill, Woodbridge, on **TUESDAY 17 SEPTEMBER 2019 at 6.15pm**

Councillors:

Present: S Bale, C Blois, G Holdcroft, C Mapey, E O’Nolan, A Semmens, M Sutton and M Walsh

Apologies: S Miller

Absent without Apologies: S Evans

In Attendance: Town Clerk and no members of the public

Action

332. **MEMBERS AND OFFICERS WERE INVITED TO MAKE ANY DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY OR LOCAL NON-PECUNIARY INTERESTS THAT THEY MAY HAVE IN RELATION TO ITEMS ON THE AGENDA AND WERE ALSO REMINDED TO MAKE ANY DECLARATIONS AT ANY STAGE DURING THE MEETING IF IT BECOMES APPARENT THAT THIS MAY BE REQUIRED WHEN A PARTICULAR ITEM OR ISSUE IS CONSIDERED, AND TO DEAL WITH DISPENSATIONS AS REQUESTED BY INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLORS IN LINE WITH S33 OF THE LOCALISM ACT 2011**

Cllr O’Nolan had previously declared to the Clerk a local non-pecuniary interest in DC/19/3238/FUL as he had asked East Suffolk Coastal to place a TPO on the tree in question.

333. **COUNCILLORS WITH A PECUNIARY INTEREST IN AN ITEM ON THIS AGENDA, WHO WISH TO REMAIN, SPEAK AND/OR VOTE DURING CONSIDERATION OF THAT ITEM, MAY APPLY FOR A DISPENSATION BY WRITING TO THE TOWN CLERK PRIOR TO THE MEETING. APPLICATIONS MAY ALSO BE CONSIDERED AT THE MEETING ITSELF SHOULD THE NATURE OF THE INTEREST BECOME APPARENT TO A COUNCILLOR AT THE TIME OF THE MEETING**

Cllr O’Nolan had previously asked the Town Clerk for a dispensation to stay for the discussion on DC/19/3238/FUL. This was approved by the Committee.

334. **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

There were none.

335. **CONFIRMATION OF PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES**

IT WAS RESOLVED that the minutes of the meetings held on Tuesday 3 September 2019 be confirmed and signed as a true copy.

336. **TOWN CLERK'S REPORT**

There was nothing to report.

337. **TO DISCUSS WTCs REPLY TO THE SIZEWELL C CONSULTATION 4**

There was discussion concerning the problems that would arise for the residents of Woodbridge through both the rail and road-led options cited in Consultation 4.

IT WAS RESOLVED to reply the Sizewell C Consultation 4 by stating:

Woodbridge Town Council acknowledges the issues raised by Woodbridge residents who live along the railway line. These issues are extremely worrying as it takes away their right to rest and sleep due to train noise. The residents are also concerned about the vibrations from trains destroying their historic buildings.

Residents near the A12 have similar concerns about air quality, noise and pollution.

This Council maintains its opposition to the building of Sizewell C.

(Seven in favour, one against.)

338. **TO DISCUSS TOWN COUNCIL'S INSTRUCTION TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TO 'INSIST THAT THE HIGHEST STANDARDS OF INSULATION AND USE OF RENEWABLE ENERGY IN EVERY PLANNING APPLICATION TO REINFORCE THE CLIMATE EMERGENCY BY SUFFOLK COUNTY COUNCIL, EAST SUFFOLK COUNCIL AND WOODBRIDGE TOWN COUNCIL'**

It was pointed out that Sections 9.13 and 9.14 of the Suffolk Coastal Local Plan, Final Draft Plan, January 2019 referred to energy and water efficiency. It was decided to further investigate the 'passive house' standards and ask a member of the East Suffolk Council Planning team to brief the Committee.

This was to be brought back to the meeting of 1 October 2019.

339. **TO CONSIDER APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION**

See below.

340. **CHAIRMAN'S REPORT**

There was nothing to report.

341. **CLOSURE**

The next meeting was due to take place on 1 October 2019.

Application No.	Committee Comments
DC/19/3361/FUL – 14 New Street	We recommend APPROVAL , however, would highlight that at Item 15 of the Application the response to being within 20 metres of a watercourse is erroneous as the River Naverne in a culvert below the property.
DC/19/3443/FUL – 2 Jacobs Way	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/19/3416/FUL – 24 California	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/19/3238/FUL - 26 Ipswich Road Woodbridge	We recommend REFUSAL as the proposed position would necessitate destruction of a mature tree. There are also concerns from the neighbour of sewage leeching into their garden; this development does not address that point.
DC/19/3268/TCA – The Garden House, Crown Place	We recommend APPROVAL

Councillor O’Nolan
Chairman