

WOODBIDGE TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of an **on-line** meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** held on **TUESDAY 6TH OCTOBER 2020**
at **6pm**

Councillors:

Present: S Bale, Lady C Blois, G Holdcroft, E O’Nolan, R Sanders, R Sutton and C Walsh

Apologies: S Miller

Absence without
Apologies: C Mapey

In Attendance: Locum Town Clerk, Locum Deputy Town Clerk and two members of the public

In the absence of the Chair, Councillor Sanders took the Chair.

Action

438. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence had been received from Councillor Miller.

439. MEMBERS AND OFFICERS WERE INVITED TO MAKE ANY DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY OR LOCAL NON-PECUNIARY INTERESTS THAT THEY MAY HAVE IN RELATION TO ITEMS ON THE AGENDA AND WERE ALSO REMINDED TO MAKE ANY DECLARATIONS AT ANY STAGE DURING THE MEETING IF IT BECOMES APPARENT THAT THIS MAY BE REQUIRED WHEN A PARTICULAR ITEM OR ISSUE IS CONSIDERED, AND TO DEAL WITH DISPENSATIONS AS REQUESTED BY INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLORS IN LINE WITH S33 OF THE LOCALISM ACT 2011

Councillor Holdcroft declared a local non-pecuniary interest in item 443 planning application DC/3606/TCA as a Trustee of the Seckford Foundation.

No other members declared an interest in matters on the agenda.

440. COUNCILLORS WITH A PECUNIARY INTEREST IN AN ITEM ON THIS AGENDA, WHO WISH TO REMAIN, SPEAK AND/OR VOTE DURING CONSIDERATION OF THAT ITEM, MAY APPLY FOR A DISPENSATION BY WRITING TO THE TOWN CLERK PRIOR TO THE MEETING. APPLICATIONS MAY ALSO BE CONSIDERED AT THE MEETING ITSELF SHOULD THE NATURE OF THE INTEREST BECOME APPARENT TO A COUNCILLOR AT THE TIME OF THE MEETING

There were no requests from Councillors with a Pecuniary Interest in an item on this Agenda for a dispensation.

441. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Two members of the public spoke in opposition to planning application DC/20/3685/FUL for Gault House, 3A Thoroughfare, because of the degree of overlooking to neighbouring properties, and the increased roof height of this application.

With the agreement of the Committee the Chair dealt with that planning application as the next item of business (agenda item 6 and item 443).

TO CONSIDER APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

Application No and Address	Committee Comments
DC/20/3685/FUL Gault House, 3A Thoroughfare	We recommend REFUSAL as the design is contrary to planning policy SCLP11.2 in that it will affect the privacy of neighbouring properties by way of overlooking from first floor windows, and that the positioning of the dwelling within the site, combined with its height, dominates its relationship with neighbouring properties. In particular the first-floor windows overlooking properties in Church Street and The Coach House, New Street. The application is also contrary to planning policy 11.5 in terms of its scale and height within the conservation area and its neighbouring properties.

442. TO AGREE AND APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD 22nd SEPTEMBER 2020

The Committee agreed and approved the signing of the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held 22nd September 2020 as a true record.

443. TO CONSIDER APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

Application No and Address	Committee Comments
DC/20/3606/TCA Woodbridge School, Burkitt Road	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/2726/LBC The Crown Hotel, 2 Thoroughfare	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/2725/FUL The Crown Hotel, 2 Thoroughfare	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/3716/TCA Ivy Lodge, 1 Doric Place	We recommend REFUSAL. The Crab Apple is at the edge of the garden and has not outgrown its space, and the Sorbus could be trimmed whilst still being retained as a healthy tree.
DC/20/3709/FUL 20 Queens Drive	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/3711/FUL 11 Queens Drive	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/3688/LBC 66 New Street	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/3687/FUL 66 New Street	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/3776/TCA Quay Church, Quay Street	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/3758/TCA 8 Pytches Road	We recommend APPROVAL

444. TO NOTE EAST SUFFOLK COUNCIL DECISIONS ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS WHERE THOSE DECISIONS ARE CONTRARY TO THIS COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATIONS MADE ON 8th SEPTEMBER

The Committee noted that there were no East Suffolk Council decisions on planning applications where those decisions were contrary to this Council's recommendations made on 8th September.

445. TO FURTHER COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE PLANNING SYSTEM

It was agreed to defer this item to a separate meeting at a date to be arranged.

446. CLOSURE

The meeting was closed at 7.08pm.

Councillor Sanders
Vice-Chair