

## WOODBIDGE TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of an **on-line** meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** held on **TUESDAY 30<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2021**  
at **6pm**

### Councillors:

Present: S Bale, Lady C Blois, G Holdcroft, S Miller, E O’Nolan, R Sanders, M Sutton and  
C Walsh

Apologies: C Mapey

In Attendance: Locum Town Clerk and no members of the public

### *Action*

#### **989. TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence had been received from Councillor Mapey.

#### **990. TO RECEIVE DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

No Members declared any interests in items on the agenda.

#### **991. TO CONSIDER REQUEST FOR DISPENSATIONS**

Councillor Sutton declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 995 as a director of the Woodbridge Riverside Trust.

Councillor O’Nolan declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 995 as a friend of an objector to application DC/21/1192/FUL.

Councillor Sanders declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 995 as a friend of an objector to application DC/21/1280/FUL.

#### **992. TO AGREE AND APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD 16<sup>th</sup> MARCH 2021**

The Committee agreed and approved the signing of the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> March 2021 as a true record.

#### **993. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

There were no members of the public.

994. TO NOTE INTENTIONS TO UNDERTAKE WORKS TO TREES IN THE CONSERVATION AREA

The Committee noted intentions to undertake works to trees in the conservation area.

995. TO COMMENT ON APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

The Council agreed their comments as set out below:-

Application No and Address	Committee Comments
DC/21/1101/FUL - 54 Victoria Road	We recommend <b>APPROVAL</b>
DC/21/1066/FUL - 34 Thoroughfare	We recommend <b>APPROVAL</b>
DC/21/1067/LBC - 34 Thoroughfare	<p>We note that the visible exposed roof structure suggests the historic building extends considerably further back than the applicant has stated.</p> <p>We thus consider that the Applicant's historic statement may not accurately represent the extent of the historic features and are concerned about potential damage to these.</p> <p>In line with the comments made by the Design and Conservation Officer, we recommend <b>REFUSAL</b> in line with SCLP 11.4: Listed Buildings, due to the potential damage to the fabric of the historic building.</p>
DC/21/0613/FUL - Land Opposite 4 Central Maltings Doric Place	<p>We recommend <b>REFUSAL</b> as we feel that this application does not conform to SCLP 11.1 Design Quality b and c</p> <p>We are also disappointed to see that this is a retrospective application, with the work around 80% complete.</p> <p>We would expect to receive a 'Change of Use' application should this extension be used as part of a business, as suggested by local residents.</p>
DC/21/1097/VOC - 55 Thoroughfare	We recommend <b>APPROVAL</b>
DC/21/0426/FUL - 33 Oxford Drive	We recommend <b>APPROVAL</b>
DC/21/1190/FUL - 21 Hasketon Road	We recommend <b>APPROVAL</b>
DC/21/1192/FUL - 4 Upper Moorfield Road	We recommend <b>APPROVAL</b>

DC/21/0656/VOC - Whisstocks Boatyard Tide Mill Way	The committee agreed to defer this application to it's next meeting until further information was available.
DC/21/1280/FUL - 39 Portland Crescent	We recommend <b>APPROVAL</b>

996. TO NOTE EAST SUFFOLK COUNCIL DECISIONS ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS WHERE THOSE DECISIONS ARE CONTRARY TO THIS COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND NOT PREVIOUSLY REPORTED

The Committee noted the East Suffolk Council decisions on planning applications where those decisions are contrary to this council's recommendations and not previously reported.

997. TO COMMENT ON THE SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT (SPD)

The Committee agreed to defer this item until their next meeting on April 13<sup>th</sup>.

998. TO COMMENT ON EAST SUFFOLK COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL) CHARGING SCHEDULE

The Committee noted this consultation.

999. CLOSURE

The meeting was closed at 6.43pm.

Councillor Miller  
Chair