

WOODBIDGE TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of an **on-line** meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** held on **TUESDAY 30TH JUNE 2020** at **6pm**

Councillors:

Present: S Bale, Lady C Blois, G Holdcroft, S Miller, E O’Nolan, R Sanders, A Semmens, and C Walsh

Apologies:

Absent without

Apologies: C Mapey and M Sutton

In Attendance: Locum Town Clerk and no members of the public

Action

128. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were no apologies for absence.

129. MEMBERS AND OFFICERS WERE INVITED TO MAKE ANY DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY OR LOCAL NON-PECUNIARY INTERESTS THAT THEY MAY HAVE IN RELATION TO ITEMS ON THE AGENDA AND WERE ALSO REMINDED TO MAKE ANY DECLARATIONS AT ANY STAGE DURING THE MEETING IF IT BECOMES APPARENT THAT THIS MAY BE REQUIRED WHEN A PARTICULAR ITEM OR ISSUE IS CONSIDERED, AND TO DEAL WITH DISPENSATIONS AS REQUESTED BY INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLORS IN LINE WITH S33 OF THE LOCALISM ACT 2011

No Members declared an interest in matters on the agenda.

130. COUNCILLORS WITH A PECUNIARY INTEREST IN AN ITEM ON THIS AGENDA, WHO WISH TO REMAIN, SPEAK AND/OR VOTE DURING CONSIDERATION OF THAT ITEM, MAY APPLY FOR A DISPENSATION BY WRITING TO THE TOWN CLERK PRIOR TO THE MEETING. APPLICATIONS MAY ALSO BE CONSIDERED AT THE MEETING ITSELF SHOULD THE NATURE OF THE INTEREST BECOME APPARENT TO A COUNCILLOR AT THE TIME OF THE MEETING

There were no requests for dispensations.

131. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

There were no members of the public in attendance.

132. CONFIRMATION OF PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES

The Committee approved the signing of the minutes of the meeting held 16th June 2020 as a true record.

133. TO CONSIDER APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

The Council agreed their comments as set out below: -

Application No and Address	Committee Comments
DC/20/2036/LBC 10 Market Hill	<p>We recommend REFUSAL. The access to this site is poorly situated on a bend on a narrow road and involves demolition of part of a listed wall. The choice of materials is not in keeping with the street scene. Both of these issues are contrary to Policy SCLP7 and SCLP11.1. The Arboricultural Survey fails to identify the ancient and long-established mature trees whose roots will inevitably be affected by the development and for which there are no mitigation measures in the application. The steeply graded access will not only create issues in accessing the site but also lead to additional surface water run-off for which there are no measures for alleviation contained in the application.</p> <p>The break in the listed wall, which is recognised by the Conservation Officer as being of significance cannot be justified.</p> <p>In addition, the Highways Department of Suffolk County Council has recommended refusal as the proposed access cannot comply with the requirement for an adequate sight splay in this narrow and busy road.</p>
DC/20/1820/LBC 10 Market Hill	The Committee agreed to defer a decision until a site visit could be arranged.
DC/20/2167/TPO 88 The Thoroughfare	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/2069/FUL 15 Church Street	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/2228/LBC 35 Bredfield Street	We recommend APPROVAL
DC/20/2268/TCA The Garden House, Crown Place	We recommend APPROVAL

134. CLOSURE

The meeting was closed at 6.31pm.

Councillor Miller
Chairman