

## WOODBIDGE TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of the meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** held at the Woodbridge Methodist Church on **TUESDAY 24<sup>th</sup> AUGUST 2021** at 5PM

### Councillors:

Present: D Adelson, S Bale, G Holdcroft, S Miller, R Sanders, M Sutton.

Apologies: Lady C Blois, C Walsh

Absent without  
Apologies: J Jewers, C Mapey

In Attendance: Locum Town Clerk and one member of the public

### 262. Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence had been received from Councillors Blois and Walsh

### 263. To receive Declarations of Interest

Councillor Sutton declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 268 as a Director of the Woodbridge Riverside Trust.

### 264. To consider requests for Dispensations

There were no requests from Councillors with a Pecuniary Interest in an item on this Agenda for a dispensation.

### 265. Public Question Time

No member of public wished to speak.

### 266. To agree and approve the Minutes of the meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup> August 2021

Further to item 237 members agreed that Woodbridge Town Council could be added as a signatory to the letter being sent by the 'Anglian Energy Planning Alliance' to EDF Energy in regards to potable water at Sizewell C.

Members also asked the Clerk and Chair to draw up a side letter questioning EDF Energy further on the potable water situation.

The Committee then agreed and approved the signing of the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup> August 2021 as a true record.

267. To note intentions to undertake works to trees in the Conservation Area

There were no applications at this time.

268. To comment on applications for planning permission

The Council agreed their comments as set out below:-

Application No and Address	Committee Comments
DC/21/3541/FUL - Grove Lodge 6 Pytches Road	<p>Further to a site visit undertaken on Thursday 19<sup>th</sup> August, <b>we recommend REFUSAL.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The removal of trees and hedges will both expose the neighbouring property to the proposed development, and lead to loss of the semi-rural feel of the area which is of great benefit to local residents.</li> <li>- The proposed new entrance will impact on pedestrians, in particular school children, using the public footpath along Highlands Lane .</li> <li>- The proposed ‘no dig’ driveway around the existing trees will be impossible to achieve due to the elevation difference between the garden and Pytches Road/Highlands Lane which is markedly lower.</li> <li>- The Applicant has not submitted reports deemed as necessary in its arboricultural report to confirm the recommendations therein can be achieved.</li> </ul>
DC/21/2717/VOC - Deben Wharf 3A Whisstocks	<p>We confirm that our original <b>recommendation of REFUSAL</b> made on June 30<sup>th</sup> 2021 is unchanged;</p> <p><b>We recommend REFUSAL due to the potential noise impact on neighbouring properties</b></p> <p>The proposed shortened opening hours are still unacceptable for a restaurant in this mainly residential area – the approved hours in DC/14/1363/VOC were agreed to protect residents and the local environment from late night noise nuisance.</p>

	We are also concerned about a likely increase in illegal parking adjacent to Tide Mill Way, and an increase in litter on Whisstocks Place.
DC/21/1886/FUL - Land To The Rear Of 32 Market Hill	We confirm that our original <b>recommendation of REFUSAL</b> made on May 19 <sup>th</sup> 2021 is unchanged;  The proposed development site is too small (see para 5.35 of local plan) and thus contrary to SCLP 5.7 in its scale.
DC/21/3536/FUL - 25 Ipswich Road	<b>We recommend APPROVAL</b> subject to a condition that the property owners are not able to seek further planning permission to convert the annexe into a separate property.
DC/21/3667/FUL - Pinecrest 5 Pytches Road	<b>We recommend REFUSAL</b> as this application contravenes Policy SCLP5.7 (Infill and Garden Development), section D – Existing and proposed dwellings have sufficient curtilage space. <b>The design is also out of character with the local area.</b>
DC/21/3560/LBC - 23 Church Street	<b>We recommend APPROVAL</b>
DC/21/3786/FUL - 7 Haughgate Close	<b>We recommend APPROVAL</b>
DC/21/3816/FUL - 14 Beaconsfield Road	<b>We recommend APPROVAL</b>
DC/21/3877/FUL - 9B Thoroughfare	<b>We recommend APPROVAL</b>

**269. To note East Suffolk Council decisions on planning applications where those decisions are contrary to this Council’s recommendations and not previously reported.**

The Committee noted East Suffolk Council decisions on planning applications where those decisions are contrary to this council’s recommendations and not previously reported.

**270. Closure**

The meeting was closed at 5.51pm.

Councillor Sanders  
Chair