

# Minutes of the meeting of the **Planning Committee** held at the **Shire Hall** on **Wednesday 21st** February 2024 at 5.30pm

## **Councillors:**

Present: D Adelson, S Bale, E Beck P Gillard, G Holdcroft, E O'Nolan, N Phipps and R Sanders

Apologies: R Leach\_and Kneebone

In Attendance: Deputy Clerk and no members of the public

## 680. <u>Apologies for Absence</u>

The Committee agreed to approve the absence of Councillors Leach and Kneebone.

#### 681. <u>To receive Declarations of Interest</u>

No members made any declarations of interests in relation to items on the agenda.

#### 682. <u>Public Question Time.</u>

There were no members of the public present.

# 683. <u>To agree and approve the Minutes of the meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup> February 2024</u>

The minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held 7<sup>th</sup> February 2024 were approved. It was noted that Councillor Gillard was present at the previous meeting as it was indicated he was absent in item 654.

# 684. <u>To note intentions to undertake works to trees in the conservation area (TCA)</u>

DC/24/0510/TCA - 10 Market Hill Woodbridge Suffolk IP12 4LU

WTC agreed this notification was incomplete and until the notification is complete the notification period of six weeks should not commence. WTC agreed to seek ESC placing a TPO on this tree given its prominence so as to better protect it.

# 685. <u>To comment on applications to trees with a Tree Preservation Order (TPO)</u>

DC/24/0546/TPO - Woodlands Broomheath Woodbridge Suffolk IP12 4DL	WTC agreed the application was
	Incomplete with inadequate evidence
	that the trees were dead, therefore we
	Recommend Refusal. WTC refusal also
	relates to the statement that no
	replacement of trees had been
	proposed.

# 686. <u>To comment on applications for planning permission</u>

The Council agreed their comment as set out below:-

WTC Recommend Approval.
WTC Recommend Approval.
WTC has No Objection.
WTC has No Objection.
WTC No Objection but would like to see
the gates eventually replaced
WTC recommends Refusal based on
overdevelopment of the site and loss of
amenity to the site and neighbouring
properties.

# 687. <u>To note East Suffolk Council decisions on Planning Applications where those</u> <u>decision are contrary to this Council's recommendations and not previously</u> <u>reported</u>

The Committee noted East Suffolk Council's decisions on planning applications where those decisions are contrary to this Council's recommendations and not previously reported.

## 688. <u>Closure</u>

The meeting was closed at 6.25pm.

Councillor Sanders Chair